STUDY AREA 1 PLANNING COMMITTEE #3 Identifying Issues & Surfacing Solutions Tuesday, December 17, 2019

The Comprehensive School Planning Review (CSPR) is a collaborative process that will assess the District's neighborhood enrollment, school facilities, and educational program offerings, to help us plan for the future in a way that ensures our students have access to a great school close to where they live.

MEETING OBJECTIVES:

- Refine issues related to enrollment, school facilities & educational program offerings
- Surface solutions to the issues identified, with the intent of generating ideas to inform future options

Level of Agreement on a scale of 1-5 (1 is strongly disagree - 5 is strongly agree)						
	This session helped me better understand the CSPR process.	I felt comfortable sharing my input during the meeting	This meeting was a good use of my time	I feel like my presence and input is being valued in the CSPR process		
Study Area 1	3.19	3.77	3.10	3.32		
Study Area 2	3.69	4.00	4.08	3.92		
Study Area 3	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.50		
All Study Areas	3.46	3.90	3.53	3.62		

MEETING 2 HIGHLIGHTS

Anecdotal Feedback Included:

• Feeling rushed, not enough time with the data

- Does our input matter or will 440 make all the decisions
- There is doubt about how we get buy-in from BOE

What we've learned so far:

- This is a lot of information for people to digest
- We need more time:
 - between Advisory Team meetings & Planning Committee meetings
 - between Planning Committee meetings
 - with the Superintendent
 - for Translation Services
- We need to expand
 - Community Engagement
 - Principal Engagement
 - Student Engagement

As a result, timelines are being adjusted to give folks the time they need:

Original Dates	Proposed Dates	Comments			
PLANNING COMMITTEES					
Jan 7	Jan 21	moved for more processing time			
Feb 4	Feb 4	as scheduled*			
Feb 18		removed from schedule			
Mar 17	Mar 17	as scheduled			
	Mar 31	added to schedule			
	May 19	added to schedule			
COMMUNITY INPUT FORUMS					
Jan 21	Mar 3	Pushed back first Forum and moved the second to April			
Mar 3	Apr 21				

*schedule re-adjusted for February due to feedback from Principals

OPTIONS – CASE STUDY

Tyler Vick from FLO took Planning Committee members through a case study from another school district.

IDENTIFYING KEY ISSUES

Harris Lewin shares historical data about recent school actions in this study area, then Ingrid Boucher with BLOOM Planning asked individuals to break out into their groups which were organized by role (principals, teachers, parents), and respond to issues and key considerations that were shared out. Those issues were:

INITIAL ISSUES IDENTIFIED FOR STUDY AREA 1

- Imbalanced enrollment across SA1 schools
 - High utilization for schools East of Broad St. (and not enough space to accommodate in facilities).
 - Low utilization for schools West of Broad St., despite stable and growing student populations
- Middle schools across SA1 lose students to other educational opportunities
 - Despite heavy demand for PreK and K-5

ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Impact of gentrification on school enrollments
- Impact of immigration and student mobility on school enrollments
- Perceptions of schools (quality, climate, programs)

Once shared, groups reacted to the issues by tallying which issues resonated most with them, and noting if there were any issues missing, or off-base. They discussed each issue area individually and surfaced additional concerns to this time.

SURFACING SOLUTIONS

The CSPR team facilitators shared a list of possible solutions, and also highlighted that others were possible but that this list could be a conversation starter.

Breakout groups then continued their previous conversation, and shifted the focus to potential solutions.

• Addition/New Construction: The construction of a new or renovation of an existing building to meet future demand.

- **Boundary Change:** A realignment of boundaries to accommodate projected changes in populations and communities across our city.
- **Closing:** The elimination of an academic program and/or school facility.
- **Co-Location:** Sharing underutilized space for appropriate educational or administrative functions.
- **Consolidation:** A realignment of student population in order to better serve the educational needs of students.
- **Grade Change:** The addition or reduction of grades.
- **Policy changes:** Changes to district policy and admin procedures.
- **Relocation:** Movement of an educational program to another facility.
- **Replication:** The replication of high-quality academic programming.
- **Transitions:** Creating thoughtful transitions for students at elementary and middle grades

At the end of the conversation, a member from each group was asked to share out a few key ideas/solutions that were shared during their conversation.

Next Steps & Wrap Up

FLO Analytics shared that they would take the potential solutions surfaced, as well as feedback on the key issues, and use this information to generate a first round of 'options' for the planning committee to react to.

FLO emphasized that there would be several months to generate options, and that January would simply be an initial step in this direction.

Reminder – Updated Study Area Meeting Schedule (SA1 highlighted orange):

Timeline	Study Area 1	Study Area 2	Study Area3		
January	1/21	1/22	1/23		
February	2/4	2/5	2/6		
March	3/3 – Public Input Meeting	3/4 – Public Input Meeting	3/5 – Public Input Meeting		
	3/17	3/18	3/19		
April	3/31	4/01	4/02		
	4/21 – Public Input Meeting	4/22 – Public Input Meeting	4/23 – Public Input Meeting		
May	5/19	5/20	5/21		
June	Bring Recommendations to Board of Education				