Belmont Charter High School Revised Charter Application

Presentation to the School Reform Commission July 1, 2016



Overview of Regulatory Requirements

- Pennsylvania Charter School Law provides denied charter applicants with right to appeal to the State Charter School Appeal Board or to resubmit a revised application
- At least 45 days after receiving a revised application, the School Reform Commission is required to vote at the first scheduled public board meeting

Overview: Belmont CHS Resubmission

- Belmont Charter School submitted a revised application for Belmont Charter High School May 16, 2016
 - Revised application was evaluated against the 2015-16 new charter application guidelines and criteria
- District staff reviewed revised application and submitted evaluation report to the SRC
- Evaluation report sent to applicant and posted to CSO website
- SRC will vote on revised application this morning

Belmont Charter High School

	Original Application	Revised Application			
Grade Range	9 - 12	9 - 12			
Total Enrollment	500	500			
Location	1301 Belmont Avenue (Leidy building), 19104	1301 Belmont Avenue (Leidy building), 19104			
Proposed Opening Year	2016-17	2017-18			
Enrollment/Lottery Preference	Graduates of Belmont CS & preference for Straw. Mansion	Graduates of Belmont CS			

Approval Guidelines New Charter Applications

Pennsylvania Charter School Law* guidelines include but are not limited to:

- Extent to which application considers information requested in the charter application
- Capability of the applicant, in terms of support and planning, to provide comprehensive learning experiences to students
- Demonstrated, sustainable support for charter school plan by parents, community members, and students
- Extent to which charter school may serve as a model for other public schools

*As in Section 17-1717-A



Charter School Revocation Recommendation World Communications Charter School

Presentation to the School Reform Commission Charter Schools Office

July 1, 2016



Revocation Overview

- Consistent with a renewal evaluation, a revocation recommendation is based on an evaluation of same three domains
 - Academic success, organizational compliance and financial health
- The CSO's revocation recommendation is based on the collection, evaluation, and synthesis of evidence from the following sources:
 - -Charter for WCCS
 - -Annual reports
 - -2015 Annual Charter Evaluation ("ACE")
 - -Audited financial statements
 - -Site visit (focus groups and school walkthroughs)
 - -Site visit documents
 - –WCCS self-reported academic data, School Performance Profile ("SPP") report, and SPR report
 - -Office of Auditing Services financial review and enrollment audit



Timeline

Date	Action
March 2015	CSO conducted site visit at WCCS
May 2015	CSO issued findings memo to WCCS subsequent to site visit
August 31, 2015	Date of Letter Agreement between Board of WCCS and CSO to approve new charter operator or surrender charter
Fall 2015	WCCS conducted Request for Proposal
April 2016	WCCS confirmed that selected new charter operator exited negotiations, CSO requested surrender action
May 2016	After repeated requests by the CSO, Board of WCCS refused to surrender charter

Recommendation:	Revocation
Location:	Center City
Student Population:	556 students in grades 6-12

	WCCS	District
Special Education	14%	14%
English Language Learners	2%	10%
Students Living in Poverty*	62%	65%

*Students receiving Medicaid, SNAP or TANF

Mission:

"To create students that question their environment, learn from their experiences, and change the world with their ideas."

Grade	# Students	
6	35	
7	43	
8	87 89	
9		
10	120	
11	100	
12	82	

Academi	Academic Success								
Proficiency (MS & HS)	 Math/Algebra I outcomes were lower than comparison group averages in 17 out of 18 instances Reading/ELA outcomes were lower than comparison group averages in 15 out of 18 instances From 2012-13 to 2014-15, WCCS's proficiency rate for HS students fell 22.1 percentage points in Algebra I, 36 percentage points in Literature and 20.3 percentage points in Biology From 2010-WCCS proficiency rate fell 33 percentage points in math and 27.6 percentage points in reading 								

	Algebra I Keystones – 11 th Grade			Literature Keystones – 11 th Grade			Grade	
School Year	wccs	District	Charter	Peer	wccs	District	Charter	Peer
2012-13	34.0%				61.0%			
2013-14	22.0%				42.0%			
2014-15	11.9%				25.0%			

	Math – Grades 6-8				Reading/ELA	A – Grade 6-8	3	
School Year	wccs	District	Charter	Peer	wccs	District	Charter	Peer
2012-13	51.4%				43.5%			
2013-14	34.3%				36.5%			
2014-15	2.4%				16.6%			

School's proficiency above District, Charter or Peer average.	School's proficiency below District, Charter or Peer average.

Academic Success						
Growth (HS)	 Math, ELA and biology growth standards not met in any of most recent three years Significant evidence of not meeting the growth standard in all subjects in 2014-15 					
	 Math growth standard met in one of three instances in the past three years Reading/ELA growth standard not met for any year of the charter term 					

	Hig	h School Grow	Middle School Growth		
School Year	Algebra I	Literature	Biology	Math	Reading/ ELA
2012-13					
2013-14		NR (IS)			
2014-15					

	Growth meets or	Growth does not meet	NR (IS) – Not Reported
	exceeds PA standard.	PA standard.	(Insufficient Sample)

Academic Success	
Graduation (HS)	 Graduation rate decreased from 62% in 2012-13 to 53% in 2013-14 and was lower than District, Charter, and peer averages in all years
	 Only six 12th grade students over a three year period scored "college ready" on SAT/ACT Through 2014-15 WCCS did not offer any advanced, college preparatory course work

Organizational Viability and Compliance	
Special Education	 School has not fully complied with conditions within its charter pertaining to special education program plans School has a non-compliant Child Find process
English Language Learners (ELLs)	 School's ELL policy and practice for assessing, instructing or existing students is not consistent with PDE guidance School has not fully complied with conditions within its charter pertaining to ELL program plans
Discipline	 School's code of conduct does not fully adhere to requirements in the PA Public School Code School's code of conduct is not appropriately differentiated for students with disabilities
Board Governance	 Board has not fully complied with conditions within its charter pertaining to committee meetings Board does not fully comply with requirements of the Sunshine Act
Personnel Requirements	 Board has not ensured full compliance with employee background check requirements through 2014-15 School failed to meet HQT requirements
Financial Health and Sustainability	
Fiscal Management	 Audits for FY13 and FY14 found enrollment irregularities and special education file non-compliance (\$170,390 SDP overpayment to WCCS)